



RFP Number:	00000007	Bid Opening Date/Time:	August 11, 2015 at 11:00AM
Description:	Waste Characterization Study Services		
Addendum #:	1	Addendum Date:	July 31, 2015

Mecklenburg County

Waste Characterization Study Services

RFP # 00000007

Proposal Due Date Extension and Vendor Questions/County Responses

Vendor Questions and County Responses

RFP Reference	Vendor Question	County Response
SECTION 1.6.5, COUNTY TERMS AND CONDITIONS	The link in the RFP to view the County's terms and conditions is incorrect.	The County's terms and conditions are incorporated as part of this Addendum 1. The County's terms and conditions, and a contract template example, have been included as Attachments to the RFP in MECKProcure.
SECTION 2, SCHEDULE AND PROCESS	Would the County consider a one (1) week extension for submitting proposals? Due to the importance of the answers to the questions and the level of detail required in the requested approach, we do not feel that the current schedule allows adequate time to incorporate the County's responses into our proposal and ensure on-time delivery. We do not feel this extension would alter the County's goal to begin sampling by October 5, 2015.	The County has extended the proposal submission deadline. Proposals are now due no later than 11:00 AM (EST) on August 11, 2015.
SECTION 2.4, PROPOSAL FORMAT	Very specific information and formatting are requested for the submittal.	The County prefers the formatting of the Hard Copy submittal to be as stated, to preserve paper and lower the environmental impact. But a bid will not be rejected, and it will not affect evaluation at all, if formatted differently, as long as the submittal contains all information requested and all fully completed Required Forms.
SECTION 3.3, REQUESTS FOR FINANCIAL INFORMATION	The list of financial information that must be provided within 24 hours of request during the evaluation period	The County has waived the 24 hour response requirement for requested financial information. Only if asked for as part of the evaluation, a

	is quite extensive for a project of this nature. A request for this type of disclosure for a project of this size and service is highly unusual. Would the County consider waiving this requirement?	Vendor would need to provide information of sufficient quantity and with verifiable sources, which is agreed upon by the Vendor and the LUESA Solid Waste Division, to ascertain that the vendor is financially capable of performing the services described in this RFP. For this solicitation, this information would not need to meet the requirements of Section 3 of the RFP, if approved by the LUESA Solid Waste Division Evaluation Team. This information should not be provided as part of the Vendor's proposal.
SECTION 4.2, LOGISTICAL INFORMATION FOR CONSULTANT'S USE	Is there any indication that the Town of Pineville's hauler would be willing to direct haul its residential routes to the CMS Landfill during the sorting event?	The Town of Pineville has indicated that it will work with its hauler to direct its residential routes to the CMS Landfill during the sorting event.
SECTION 4.2, LOGISTICAL INFORMATION FOR CONSULTANT'S USE, TABLE 2	In reference to Table 2 on page 23, do the Multi-Family Household counts include only those households receiving single-family collection services (or do these numbers include households receiving commercial service as well)?	The Multi-Family Household counts in Table 2, on page 23, include all multi-family households. Some of these households receive single family collection services, some receive front loader, and some receive compactor services.
SECTION 4.3.4, TASK 3: EVALUATION OF THE SUCCESS OF INTERNAL RECYCLING PROGRAM	Does the County know how often the Sheriff Department's waste compactor is serviced per week/month?	There are two (2) 35 yard waste compactors at the Sheriff Department, one at each of the two primary locations, Jail North and Jail Central. Both compactors are serviced twice per week.
SECTION 4.3.4, TASK 3: EVALUATION OF THE SUCCESS OF INTERNAL RECYCLING PROGRAM	Will the County support data collection from County government buildings, the CMS system, and CPCC campuses by requiring managers of these sites to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - submit any documentation regarding tonnage generated at all locations, including invoices received for solid waste services; - allow the Consultant to tour locations; and - allow the Consultant to remove waste materials from dumpsters for characterization on-site? 	Invoices and tonnage data for County government buildings, CMS, and CPCC is submitted to the County, and will be shared with the selected Vendor. The collection process co-mingles the waste on dedicated routes between all three, County government buildings, CMS, and CPCC facilities. The selected Vendor will be able to visit the selected locations with the County. The County will seek permission to sort the waste at the locations proposed by the selected Vendor.
SECTION 4.3.6, TASK 5: UNINCORPORATED MECKLENBURG COUNTY	Does the County want the MSW brought to the Drop-Off Centers by Unincorporated County residents (noted in Task 5) to be characterized separately from the other Drop-Off Center patrons in Task 4?	No, the Drop-Off Centers information noted in Task 5, is simply explaining that Unincorporated County residents have the option between Drop-Off Centers or setting up subscription service with a private hauler. Task 5 is specifically looking at private hauler subscription based services.

SECTION 4.3.7, TASK 6: MULTI-FAMILY	Does the County have a listing of all multi-family properties, and documentation of waste generated at these locations? Does the GCAA keep records of waste generation at multi-family properties?	The County does not have a listing of the waste generated by location. Multi-family properties range in size and classification. Should the selected Vendor's proposal require a list of all properties, the County will work to identify the most accurate list of multi-family properties. The GCAA does not have waste generation data.
SECTION 4.3.7, TASK 6: MULTI-FAMILY	Is the County willing to arrange dedicated collection routes to collect from smaller multi-family properties that do not have 40-yard compactors?	The County does not provide collection. Should a dedicated route be necessary in the selected Vendor's proposal, the County will work with the selected Vendor for the most efficient process.
GENERAL	Does the County have the buy-in, support, and cooperation of all local municipalities to conduct this study?	Yes, all local municipalities are in support of this study.
GENERAL	Can the County provide a list of permitted waste haulers collecting residential MSW in the Unincorporated County?	A list of waste haulers in Mecklenburg County is located at this link on our website: http://charmeck.org/mecklenburg/county/LUESA/SolidWaste/ResidentialRecycling/Pages/GarbageRecyclingHaulers.aspx .
GENERAL	Does the County know if there is any mixing of unincorporated residential MSW with incorporated residential MSW from a local government? I.e., do contracted haulers from local governments also collect MSW from the Unincorporated County along the same route?	The County has no empirical evidence that this is occurring. But, the County also has no empirical evidence this is not occurring.
GENERAL	Who is the contracted hauler that provides collection services to the City of Charlotte's multi-family complexes and government buildings?	Republic Waste Services
GENERAL	To the County's knowledge, do private haulers in the Unincorporated County co-mingle curbside MSW with commercial waste during collection routes?	The County has no empirical evidence that this is occurring. But, the County also has no empirical evidence this is not occurring.

SECTION 4.2, LOGISTICAL INFORMATION FOR CONSULTANT’S USE, TABLE 1

1. In reference to Table 1 on page 22, can the County expand Table 1 to include the contracted haulers collecting residential MSW in each local government and the corresponding tonnage (if known) disposed by the residential sector of each local government in 2014?

Jurisdiction	Estimate Trucks/Day	Hauling Days	Hauler	Tonnage Disposed 7/2013 – 6/2014
Charlotte	60	M-F	City of Charlotte	275,533
Huntersville	3 to 4	M-F	Advance	13,414
Cornelius	2	M-F	Republic	7,190
Davidson	2	M-Th	Republic	2,962
Matthews	2	M-F	Republic	7,464
Mint Hill	3	M-Th	RCS	8,965
Pineville	2	W	Signature Waste	1,605

SECTION 4.3.5, TASK 4: DROP-OFF CENTER MSW WASTE

1. How many 40-yard compactors are located at each of the four full-service drop-off centers? Can the County provide a one (1) month history of the date of each pull and corresponding tonnage for each drop-off center?

Full Service Drop-Off Centers	# of Compactors	Size of Compactor
Hickory Grove Recycle Center	2	34 yard
Foxhole Recycle Center	2	40 yard
West Meck Recycle Center	1	40 yard
North Meck Recycle Center	1	40 yard

Hickory Grove Recycle Center	
Date	Tons
6/1/2015	7.14
6/3/2015	5.82
6/10/2015	5.76
6/12/2015	3.76
6/15/2015	6.41
6/17/2015	3.83
6/19/2015	3.75
6/22/2015	4.82
6/24/2015	3.83
6/26/2015	4.45
6/29/2015	7.73
6/1/2015	8.44
6/5/2015	0.84
6/8/2015	5.13

6/15/2015	5.54
6/22/2015	8.4
6/29/2015	10.69
West Meck Recycling Center	
Date	Tons
6/2/2015	6.05
6/5/2015	3.26
6/8/2015	4.02
6/11/2015	3.51
6/15/2015	3.53
6/19/2015	3.8
6/23/2015	5.31
6/25/2015	1.27
6/29/2015	5.53
North Meck Recycling Center	
6/1/2015	6.05
6/5/2015	6.66
6/8/2015	5.12
6/12/2015	7.16
6/16/2015	7.48
6/19/2015	5.55
6/22/2015	3.89
6/26/2015	6.99
6/29/2015	4.92
Foxhole Recycling Center	
6/1/2015	7.4
6/4/2015	6.92
6/5/2015	3
6/8/2015	9.89
6/9/2015	10.19
6/11/2015	4.03
6/12/2015	2.54
6/15/2015	8.69
6/16/2015	5.95
6/18/2015	7.19
6/19/2015	8.1
6/22/2015	4.76

6/22/2015	5.44
6/23/2015	6.32
6/25/2015	9.18
6/26/2015	7.65
6/29/2015	6.54
6/29/2015	3.95